False lumen ejection fraction predicts growth in type B aortic dissection: preliminary results
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: Current risk assessment strategies in type B aortic dissection are focused on anatomic parameters, although haemodynamic abnormalities that result in false lumen (FL) pressurization are thought to play a significant role in aortic growth. The objective of this study was to evaluate blood flow of the FL using 4D flow magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and identify haemodynamic and anatomic factors that independently predict the rate of aortic growth.
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METHODS: Patients with dissection of the descending thoraco-abdominal aorta (n = 18) were enrolled in a prospective observational study and underwent 4D flow MRI for haemodynamic assessment of the entry tear and FL. Anatomic parameters were obtained by magnetic resonance angiography and baseline computed tomography. False lumen ejection fraction (FL EF) was defined as the ratio of retrograde flow rate at the dominant entry tear during diastole over the antegrade systolic flow rate.

RESULTS: The median aortic growth rate was 3.5 mm/year (interquartile range 0.5–8.1 mm/year). Entry tear peak velocity was lower in patients with enlarging aortic dimensions (95.5 ± 24.1 vs 128.1 ± 37.4 cm/s, P = 0.039). After adjusting for co-variates FL EF (β = 0.15, P = 0.004), baseline maximal aortic diameter (β = 0.37, P = 0.001) and the entry tear distance from the left subclavian artery (β = 0.07, P = 0.016) were significant predictors of aortic growth rate.

CONCLUSIONS: Beyond standard anatomic risk factors, FL EF is an independent predictor of aortic growth rate and may represent an intuitive, non-invasive method to estimate FL pressurization and improve patient-specific risk assessment in patients with type B aortic dissection.
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ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL EF</td>
<td>False lumen ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>False lumen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC</td>
<td>Left subclavian artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance angiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAD</td>
<td>Type B aortic dissection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVAR</td>
<td>Thoracic endovascular aortic repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>True lumen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWERP</td>
<td>Virtual work-energy relative pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTRODUCTION

Aneurysmal degeneration of the false lumen (FL) is the most common long-term complication in type B aortic dissection (TBAD), occurring in ~75% of patients who are medically managed [1]. Prophylactic thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) has been shown to promote aortic remodelling, slow disease progression and decrease long-term aorta-specific mortality [2, 3]. However, TEVAR for uncomplicated TBAD remains a debated topic, given concerns of excess cost and procedural complications. Thus, there remains a significant need for methods to better risk-stratify patients with TBAD to allow for a targeted repair strategy. Existing methods for estimating the risk in TBAD focus on anatomic variables measured on computed tomography (CT) images, which are limited in their ability to capture the complex and dynamic character of aortic dissection [4–6].

A recent CT-based risk prediction analysis showed that including estimated FL outflow improved the model’s predictive power from ~0.55 to 0.7, further stressing the importance of FL haemodynamic assessment of the FL [4].

Haemodynamic forces are thought to play a significant role in the development of aneurysm in TBAD, and thus TEVAR exerts its protective effects by decreasing the FL inflow and promoting thrombosis. Unfortunately, haemodynamics of the FL are poorly evaluated using standard CT images, and invasive catheter-based measurements of FL pressure are rarely performed in clinical practice. Studies using both computation modelling and flow phantoms techniques have demonstrated that FL pressurization occurs in the setting of excess inflow relative to outflow, resulting in higher mean diastolic and pulse pressure in the FL [7–9]. Furthermore, in the setting of insufficient outflow, the degree of retrograde flow increases in proportion to the degree of outflow insufficiency [7, 10].

Time-resolved 3-dimensional phase-contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (4D flow) is an advanced MRI technique that allows for volumetric assessment of blood flow in large vessels [11]. 4D flow MRI studies in TBAD have identified a variety of abnormal blood flow characteristics in the FL that may relate to the risk of future FL aneurysm formation, although the mechanisms that link blood flow abnormalities and aortic growth remain poorly defined [12, 13]. Retrograde flow (or diastolic flow reversal) may be an intuitive marker of FL pressurization that can be easily identified by 4D flow MRI [14]. Specifically, the proportion of FL flow exiting at the dominant entry tear in a retrograde fashion during diastole, which in this manuscript will be referred to as the ‘false lumen ejection fraction’ (FL EF), has been proposed as surrogate maker of FL pressurization and has been shown to be elevated among chronic TBAD patients with a history of progressive FL growth [13].

Despite the simplicity of the FL EF metric, the haemodynamic environment of the FL is complex and influenced by a variety of patient-specific factors such as anatomy and age of the dissection, highlighting the need for a multivariate analysis to control for the effects of confounding relationships between variables.

The purpose of this study was to perform a comprehensive analysis of FL haemodynamics in a cohort of patients with chronic TBAD and to further evaluate the ability of a variety of haemodynamic variables to independently predict aortic growth rate during follow-up. We hypothesize that the degree of blood exiting the FL through the dominant entry tear during diastole (FL EF) will independently predict growth of the dissected descending thoraco-abdominal aorta.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient identification and clinical characteristics

Between November 2014 and August 2019, a total of 18 adult patients with unrepaired, chronic dissection of the descending thoracic aorta (n = 15 type B; n = 3 repaired type A with residual tear in the descending thoracic aorta) were prospectively enrolled in an observational, IRB-approved study.
and underwent a single research MRI exam. TBAD patients were identified through our Cardiac Surgery clinic (n = 95) and were excluded for: (i) complete FL thrombosis (n = 7), (ii) entry tear location in the abdominal aorta (n = 3), (iii) symptoms of chest pain or evidence of malperfusion (n = 3), (iv) claustrophobia or other contraindication to MRI (n = 22), (v) estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 ml/min/1.73 m² (n = 8), and 28 patients declined participation. Patient information was collected by a combination of research questionnaire and chart review. Baseline anatomic data including maximal diameter (including aortic wall), true lumen (TL) and FL size, distance of the entry tear from the left subclavian artery (LSC), FL location and branch vessel anatomy were measured on the baseline clinical CT scan acquired at the time of aortic dissection diagnosis using clinical image analysis software using a centreline approach (Vitrea, Vital Images, Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan).

Magnetic resonance imaging technique and imaging analysis

All MRI exams were performed on 3T MRI scanners (n = 4: MR750, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA; n = 14: Ingenia, Philips, Best, Netherlands). The research MRI exam included breath-hold, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), reconstructed at 0.9 mm³ isotropic resolution after the administration of an iron-based MRI contrast agent (ferumoxytol, 3 mg/kg) in 12 patients at 3 mg/kg dose or gadobenate dimeglumine (Multihance®; Bracco, Milano, Italy) in 5 patients at 0.2 ml/kg dose. Following the MRA, 4D flow MRI was performed covering the thoracic aorta. Briefly, 4D flow scan parameters included: flip angle=15°, reconstructed resolution = ~1.5 mm x 1.5 mm x 2.5 mm, acceleration factor = 2.0 x 2.0, views-per-segment = 3, average scan time = 11 min, and average temporal resolution = 47 ms, velocity encoding value = 200 cm/s. Raw 4D flow MRI data were uploaded to a web-based software application (Arterys, San Francisco, CA, USA) for data reconstruction, visualization and flow analysis. Segments of the TL and FL were generated with dedicated software (Mimics, Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) and additional 4D flow haemodynamic analysis was performed in a computational framework based on finite-elements developed in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) [15, 16]. Visualization of 4D flow data was performed using ParaView (Kitware Inc., Clifton Park, NY, USA).

Aortic growth rate was calculated as the difference in maximal aortic diameter between the baseline CT angiography and the research MRA over the time interval. Subjects were categorized as ‘stable’ if their aortic growth rate was <3 mm/year and ‘enlarging’ if aortic growth rate was ≥3 mm/year, based on the reported mean growth rate in TBAD and known variability in diameter measurements [17]. Dominant entry tear was defined as the tear with the highest measured rate of inflow into the FL. FL EF (previously termed entry tear regurgitant fraction) was calculated from 4D flow MRI data by placing a flow analysis region of interest in the plane of the dominant entry tear, and was defined as the ratio of retrograde diastolic flow rate (l/min) over the antegrade systolic flow rate (l/min) measured in the plane of dominant entry tear as further illustrated in Fig. 1. Haemodynamics were also assessed in planes orthogonal to the direction of flow in the proximal TL and FL at 3 cm distal to the entry tear.

Figure 1: 4D flow haemodynamic assessment. The FL EF was measured in the plane of the dominant entry tear and was defined as the proportion of retrograde flow (l/min) exiting the FL during diastole over the systolic antegrade flow volume (l/min) at the dominant entry tear (A). Three-dimensional visualization of 4D flow magnetic resonance imaging data in a patient with type B aortic dissection demonstrating the flow analysis plane for the entry tear FL EF measurement (B, red line) and the TL and FL analysis planes (B, grey line) measured 3 cm distal to the tear. Antegrade flow is depicted in the TL and FL during systole (black arrow, B), with retrograde flow being ‘ejected’ from the FL during diastole (yellow arrow, B) in a representative case with measured FL EF of 57%. TL: false lumen; FL: false lumen ejection fraction; LSC: left subclavian artery; TL: true lumen.
categorical variables. Skewness and kurtosis were assessed (sktest in Stata), and continuous variables are reported as mean ± SD if normally distributed or median (interquartile range) if skewed. Categorical values are reported as n (%). Pearson’s correlation was used to determine associations between aortic growth rate, anatomic and haemodynamic parameters. Comparison of continuous variables was performed with the unpaired t-tests or non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test, and a P-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate difference in frequency of categorical variables. Pairwise correlation matrices were used to identify multicollinearity amongst predictors. Subsequently, parsimonious multiple linear regression models with Huber/White/sandwich robust variance estimator was used to identify independent predictors of aortic growth rate amongst a group of potential anatomic and haemodynamic variables described in prior publications [6, 12, 13].

### RESULTS

**Patient characteristics**

The average patient age was 52.1 ± 9.5 years and the majority of patients were male (13/18, 72%). The vast majority of patients had a history of hypertension (16/18, 89%) and the minority had a history of connective tissue disease (3/18, 17%). The average age of the dissection at the time of the research MRI was 3.7 ± 3.2 years (range 0.3–8.0 years) and the aortic growth rate (mm/year) was lower in patients with enlarging aortic dimensions. These data are shown in greater detail in Table 1.

### Haemodynamic assessment

The average net flow rate in the TL was higher than the FL (3.1 ± 1.1 vs 1.4 ± 1.3 l/min) as was the TL peak velocity (87.3 ± 34.9 vs 64.5 ± 30.2 cm/s). Retrograde flow was common in the TL with 16 (89%) of patients demonstrating measurable retrograde flow in the TL during diastole. Conversely, flow in the FL was almost exclusively antegrade with only 5 (27%) patients demonstrating any measurable TL retrograde flow with the highest degree of retrograde flow in the TL being only 5% by volume vs 89% in the FL. The mean FL EF was 28.9 ± 24.4% (range 0–88%), and FL EF did not correlate well with the FL retrograde flow fraction measured 3 cm distal to the primary tear (r = 0.13, P = 0.607). FL EF was significantly elevated in patients with enlarging aortic diameters (43.8 ± 22.1% vs 10.3 ± 10.1%, P = 0.001). Entry tear peak velocity was lower in patients with enlarging aortic diameters (95.5 ± 24.1 cm/s vs 128.1 ± 37.4 cm/s, P = 0.394). Haemodynamic parameters are summarized in greater detail in Table 2 and representative cases are shown in Fig. 2.

### Bivariate and multivariate analysis of aortic growth

FL EF demonstrated a moderate–strong correlation with aortic growth rate (r = 0.71, P = 0.001) and baseline maximal aortic diameter demonstrated a weak–moderate (r = 0.42, P = 0.081) but non-significant correlation with aortic growth. Dominant entry tear size (r = 0.01, P = 0.999), distance of the entry tear from the LSC (r = 0.27, P = 0.286), FL peak velocity (r = -0.1, P = 0.731), FL net flow (r = 0.36, P = 0.139) and FL retrograde flow fraction (r = 0.31, P = 0.217) did not significantly correlate with aortic growth rate on bivariate analysis. There was no significant...
correlation between FL EF and entry tear size ($r = 0.01, P = 0.949$). Scatter plots of several of these correlations are shown in Fig. 3.

Multiple linear regression analysis models were performed to identify independent predictors of aortic growth rate amongst a group of anatomic and haemodynamic variables of interest. After adjusting for co-variates, the variables that were independently associated with aortic growth rate were FL EF ($\beta = 0.15, 95\%$ confidence interval (CI) 0.07–0.23; $P = 0.001$), baseline maximal aortic diameter ($\beta = 0.37, 95\%$ CI 0.18–0.56; $P = 0.001$), and the entry tear distance from the LSC ($\beta = 0.07, SE 0.02, 95\%$ CI 0.02–0.12; $P = 0.016$), with the overall model adjusted $R^2 = 0.87$ (Table 3). The significance of predictors in the regression model did not change when patients with connective tissue disease (Supplementary Material, Table S1) or repaired type-A dissection and connective tissue disease (Supplementary Material, Table S2) were excluded.

**DISCUSSION**

The key observations of this study can be summarized as follows: (i) 4D flow MRI is a useful method for quantifying the complex blood flow patterns in the FL of patients with chronic TBAD, (ii) peak velocity at the entry tear jet is lower in patients with a history of enlarging FL, likely due to obstructed or insufficient FL outflow, (iii) FL EF, defined as the proportion of retrograde diastolic flow at the entry tear, demonstrates a moderate–strong correlation with aortic growth rate and (iv) after adjustment for co-variates, FL EF, baseline maximal diameter and distance of the entry tear from the LSC are independent predictors of aortic growth rate.

The results of our study are largely in agreement with prior literature on this topic. Specifically, baseline maximal diameter and distance of the entry tear from the LSC are commonly reported...
as predictors of aortic growth and are widely recognized as risk factors for aneurysmal degeneration and other adverse events [6]. Additionally, our observation that bi-phasic flow and retrograde/regurgitant flow is common in the FL has also been well described using a variety of imaging and computational modelling techniques [7, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]. Lastly, data from the International Registry of Aortic Dissection (IRAD) have shown that partial FL thrombosis is an independent predictor of mortality in TBAD patients and hypothesized that this was related to FL pressurization due to FL outflow obstruction [20]. Interestingly, while FL peak velocity, net flow and flow eccentricity have been previously reported to be associated with aortic growth by Clough et al. [12], we did not observe such associations in analyses.

Current treatment algorithms are largely based baseline diameter, given that diameter has a clear relationship with wall stress, risk of aneurysm formation and other complications. However, FL pressurization also plays a role in promoting growth and other outcomes in TBAD. A recent study of 83 patients with acute, uncomplicated TBAD found that decreased FL outflow (as predicted from CT branch vessel anatomy) was a significant predictor of adverse events, an effect that is likely attributable to insufficient outflow leading to FL pressurization [4, 7]. However, FL pressurization is a difficult phenomenon to assess in clinical practice using available non-invasive imaging techniques, and while branch vessel anatomy based on CT can be used to estimate the relative amount of FL outflow, without a method to assess FL inflow, the degree of inflow–outflow imbalance that leads to FL pressurization cannot be reliably determined. Computational modelling techniques have been applied to study FL haemodynamics, and while such highly controlled experimental studies are critical for isolating the haemodynamics effects of tear size, tear location and other anatomic features, they are currently limited for the routine assessment of clinical patients due to their time-consuming nature, the difficulty of accurately accounting for the effects of small tears and a variety of assumptions about the wall thickness/elasticity and flap motion [7, 21]. However, recent developments in 4D flow MRI-based techniques that utilize work-energy methods virtual work-energy relative pressure (vWERP) allow for relative pressure mapping across any vascular segment in clinically applicable timeframes, and has been used to assess bicuspid aortic valve and aortic haemodynamics, and may be useful for the non-invasive assessment of FL relative pressure gradients [22–24].

Measurement of FL EF by 4D flow MRI may avoid many of the limitations of CT and computational modelling, and while 4D flow does not directly yield FL pressure measurements, the quantification of retrograde diastolic flow at the entry tear may be a method to estimate FL pressurization non-invasively. Furthermore, considering that TBAD anatomy can be complex, and that distal re-entry pathways are more frequently small and difficult to define by non-invasive imaging, the fact that FL EF measured is based on the dominant entry tear—which is almost always clearly identifiable—avoids the challenges of directly measuring FL outflow. Furthermore, as FL EF reflects a ratio of flow rates, its measurement is less susceptible to errors related to image artefacts and other technical factors than more advanced 4D flow parameters, and 4D flow assessment of flow rate in

**Table 3: Multivariate regression—predictors of aortic growth rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>β Coefficient</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>False lumen ejection fraction</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.07–0.23</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline maximal aortic diameter</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.18–0.56</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.28 to 0.07</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant entry tear size</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.12 to 0.53</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False lumen net flow</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>-3.45 to 0.44</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False lumen peak velocity</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.03 to 0.11</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry tear distance from left subclavian</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02-0.12</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.87$.
CI: confidence interval.
TBAD has shown excellent reproducibility [25]. In practice, 4D flow imaging can be acquired immediately following a standard MRA, and in addition assessing FL pressurization can help identify secondary entry/re-entry tears that may guide endovascular repair planning [26].

Limitations

Our study has 3 main limitations. First, the study cohort is relatively small and recruited from a high-volume aortic referral centre, so there is potential for bias. However, given that 4D flow in aortic dissection is a relatively understudied topic, to our knowledge, this 18-patient cohort represents the largest 4D flow evaluation of TBAD patients to date. Second, our cohort is composed of chronic dissections. Given the timing of 4D flow imaging, it is possible that elevated FL EF is a result of aortic growth rather than a cause. However, we think this is unlikely, given that the mechanism of in-flow-outflow imbalance leading to FL pressurization has been supported by Sailer et al. using CT images from acute dissection patients, as well as in computational and flow phantom studies [4, 7, 9]. Continued research by our group will focus on enrolment of acute TBAD patients. Lastly, while the popularity of 4D flow MRI continues to rise, given significant decreases in scan time and the availability of commercial postprocessing software, it remains a technique that is used for clinical assessment in only a small number of specialized centres, thus limiting the generalizability of our findings. However, despite this limitation, we believe that our findings provide further support for the importance of FL haemodynamics in the risk assessment of TBAD patients.

In an era of increasing rates of TEVAR for treatment of TBAD, there is a significant need for methods to identify high-risk patients to allow for early targeted repair. In addition to standard anatomic assessments, a more comprehensive risk-stratification strategy would ideally include an assessment of FL pressurization such as that afforded by measurement of FL EF. With continued research and validation of FL EF as a predictor of aortic growth and other outcomes, we envision a future MRI-based approach to assess TBAD patients that will allow for a combined assessment of standard anatomic parameters in addition to haemodynamic markers of FL pressurization to help better predict patient risk.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at EJCTS online.
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